

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 \* \* \*

4 PATRICIA FELKNER-ELLIOTT,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 P.F. CHANG'S CHINA BISTRO, INC.,

8 Defendant.

Case No. 3:24-CV-00160-ART-CLB

**ORDER STRIKING REPLY**

[ECF No. 37]

9 On July 29, 2025, Defendant filed a "Motion Regarding Discovery Dispute"  
10 pursuant to the Court's Standing Order. (ECF No. 32.) The Court's Standing Order  
11 explicitly states that the parties must utilize an informal motion for discovery dispute  
12 process prior to filing a formal discovery motion before the Court. (ECF No. 4 at 3-4.)  
13 Moreover, the Court's Standing Order explicitly limits this informal briefing to the filing of  
14 ONLY a motion and a response and does not permit the filing of a reply brief. (*Id.*) In  
15 violation of this order, and after the Court filed an order denying the motion for discovery  
16 dispute, (ECF No. 36), Defendants filed a "reply" in support of their motion. (ECF No. 37.)<sup>1</sup>  
17 Accordingly, the Court **STRIKES** the above-referenced document, and reminds  
18 Defendants that they are required to follow the Court's Standing Order and the failure to  
19 do so in the future will result in the Court issuing an order to show cause why they should  
20 not be sanctioned.

21 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

22 **DATED:** August 5, 2025

23   
24  
25 **UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**  
26

27 <sup>1</sup> This is not the first motion for discovery dispute filed by Defendants in this case  
28 and thus Defendants should be aware of these requirements. (See ECF No. 22).